Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology, Ashta,

An Autonomous Institute

Department of Basic Sciences

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Curriculum Version: I (All First Year)   **Academic Year: 2021-22**

No of Students submitting feedback: 58   **Total Credits: 168**

| Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	34	24	00	00
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	20	33	5	00
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	28	27	3	01
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	32	23	3	00
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	24	29	5	00
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	30	24	4	00
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	26	28	4	01
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	33	21	4	00
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	22	33	3	00
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	24	26	8	00
	Average	27	27	4	0

Analysis of Feedback:

Student Feedback on Curriculum Design

Poor
0%

Satisfactory
7%

Excellent
46%

Good
47%

- Excellent
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Poor

Student Average Feedback

Excellent: 46%

Good: 47%

Satisfactory: 07%

Poor: 0%

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology, Ashta,
An Autonomous Institute
Department of Basic Sciences

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	Excellent	34	59
		Good	24	41
		Satisfactory	00	0
		Poor	00	0
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	Excellent	20	34
		Good	33	57
		Satisfactory	5	9
		Poor	0	0
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	Excellent	28	48
		Good	26	45
		Satisfactory	3	5
		Poor	1	2
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	Excellent	32	55
		Good	23	40
		Satisfactory	3	5
		Poor	0	0
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	Excellent	24	41
		Good	29	50
		Satisfactory	5	9
		Poor	0	0
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	Excellent	30	52
		Good	24	41
		Satisfactory	4	7
		Poor	0	0

7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	Excellent	26	45
		Good	28	48
		Satisfactory	4	7
		Poor	1	2
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	Excellent	33	57
		Good	21	36
		Satisfactory	4	7
		Poor	0	0
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	Excellent	22	38
		Good	33	57
		Satisfactory	3	5
		Poor	0	0
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	Excellent	24	41
		Good	26	45
		Satisfactory	8	14
		Poor	0	0

HOD

Basic Sciences, ADCET, Ashta

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology, Ashta,
An Autonomous Institute
Department of Basic Sciences

Teachers Feedback on Curriculum

Curriculum Version: 1st Revision

**Academic Year: 2021-22**

No of Teachers submitting feedback:

Total Credits: 168

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	10	1	1	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	8	4		
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	7	5		
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	5	5	2	
5	Are you satisfied with the curriculum?	8	4		
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	11	1		
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	5	7		
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	4	5	3	
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	5	4	3	
10	The given number text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	8	4		
	Average	7	4	2	

Analysis of Feedback:

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum Design

Poor
0%

Satisfactory
15%

Excellent
54%

Good
31%

- Excellent
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Poor

Teachers Average Feedback

Excellent: 54%

Good: 31%

Satisfactory: 15%

Poor: 00%

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology, Ashta,
An Autonomous Institute
### Department of Basic Sciences

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	Excellent	10	83
		Good	1	8
		Satisfactory	1	8
		Poor	0	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	Excellent	8	67
		Good	4	33
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	Excellent	7	58
		Good	5	42
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	Excellent	5	42
		Good	5	42
		Satisfactory	2	17
		Poor	0	0
5	Are you satisfied with the curriculum?	Excellent	8	67
		Good	4	33
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	Excellent	11	92
		Good	1	8
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0

7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	Excellent	5	42
		Good	7	58
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	Excellent	4	33
		Good	5	42
		Satisfactory	3	25
		Poor	0	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	Excellent	5	42
		Good	4	33
		Satisfactory	3	25
		Poor	0	0
10	The given number text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	Excellent	8	67
		Good	4	33
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0

HOD

Basic Sciences, ADCET, Ashta

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology
(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)
Department of Civil Engineering
Academic Year 2021-2022

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Curriculum Version: 1   **Total Credits: 168**

No of Students submitting feedback: 52

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	32	15	5	0
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	30	18	4	0
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	31	15	6	0
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	28	17	7	0
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	32	20	0	0
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	35	13	4	0
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	29	17	6	0
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	26	21	5	0
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	33	9	10	0
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	31	18	3	0
	Average	33.05	17.65	5	**0**

Analysis of Feedback:

Student Feedback on Curriculum Design

Poor
0%

Satisfactory
9%

Good
32%

Excellent
59%

- Excellent
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Poor

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology
(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)
Department of Civil Engineering
Academic Year 2021-2022

Students Average Feedback

Excellent: 33.05%

Good: 17.65%

Satisfactory: 5%

Poor: 0%

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	Excellent	32	61.53
		Good	15	28.84
		Satisfactory	5	9.61
		Poor	0	0
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	Excellent	30	57.69
		Good	18	34.61
		Satisfactory	4	7.69
		Poor	0	0
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	Excellent	31	59.61
		Good	15	28.84
		Satisfactory	6	11.53
		Poor	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	Excellent	28	53.84
		Good	17	32.69
		Satisfactory	7	13.46
		Poor	0	0
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	Excellent	32	61.53
		Good	20	38.46
		Satisfactory	0	0

		Poor	0	0
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	Excellent	35	67.30
		Good	13	25
		Satisfactory	4	7.69
		Poor	0	0
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	Excellent	29	55.76
		Good	17	32.69
		Satisfactory	6	11.53
		Poor	0	0
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	Excellent	26	50
		Good	21	40.38
		Satisfactory	5	9.61
		Poor	0	0
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	Excellent	33	63.46
		Good	9	17.30
		Satisfactory	10	19.23
		Poor	0	0
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	Excellent	31	59.61
		Good	18	34.61
		Satisfactory	3	5.76
		Poor	0	0

HEAD
Civil Engineering Dept.
Annasaheb Dange College of
Engineering & Technology, Ashta. 416 301

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology

(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Civil Engineering
Academic Year 2021-2022

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Curriculum Version: 1   **Total Credits: 168**

No of faculties submitting feedback: 15

| Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	7	8	0	0
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	12	3	0	0
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	11	4	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	12	3	0	0
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	14	1	0	0
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	10	5	0	0
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	13	2	0	0
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	13	2	0	0
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	12	3	0	0
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	13	2	0	0
	Average	**11.7**	**3.3**	**0**	**0**

Analysis of Feedback:

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum Design

Poor
0%

Satisfactory
0%

Good
22%

Excellent
78%

- Excellent
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Poor

Faculty Average Feedback

Excellent: 11.7%

Good: 3.3%

Satisfactory: 0%

Poor: 0%

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	Excellent	7	46.66
		Good	8	53.33
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	Excellent	12	80
		Good	3	20
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	Excellent	11	73.33
		Good	4	26.66
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	Excellent	12	80
		Good	3	20
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	Excellent	14	93.33
		Good	1	6.66
		Satisfactory	0	0

		Poor	0	0
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	Excellent	10	66.66
		Good	5	33.33
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	Excellent	13	86.66
		Good	2	13.33
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	Excellent	13	86.66
		Good	2	13.33
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	Excellent	12	80
		Good	3	20
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	Excellent	13	86.66
		Good	2	13.33
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0

HEAD
Civil Engineering Dept.
Annasaheb Dange College of
Engineering & Technology, Ashta. 416 301

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology
(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)
Department of Civil Engineering
Academic Year 2021-2022

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Curriculum Version: 1   **Total Credits: 168**

No of Alumni's submitting feedback: 8

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	6	2	0	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	4	4	0	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	7	1	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	6	2	0	0
5	Whether curriculum you studied is useful to get employment?	5	3	0	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	4	4	0	0
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	5	3	0	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	7	1	0	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	4	4	0	0
10	Whether curriculum you studied is addresses industrial requirements?	7	1	0	0
	Average	**5.5**	**2.5**	**0**	**0**

Analysis of Feedback:

Alumni's Feedback on Curriculum Design

Poor
0%

Satisfactory
0%

Good
31%

Excellent
69%

- Excellent
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Poor

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology
(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)
Department of Civil Engineering
Academic Year 2021-2022

Alumni's Average Feedback

Excellent: 5.5%

Good: 2.5%

Satisfactory: 0%

Poor: 00%

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	Excellent	6	75
		Good	2	25
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	Excellent	4	50
		Good	4	50
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	Excellent	7	87.5
		Good	1	12.5
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	Excellent	6	75
		Good	2	25
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
5	Whether curriculum is as per industry requirement?	Excellent	5	62.5
		Good	3	37.5
		Satisfactory	0	0

		Poor	0	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	Excellent	4	50
		Good	4	50
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	Excellent	5	62.5
		Good	3	37.5
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	Excellent	7	87.5
		Good	1	12.5
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	Excellent	4	50
		Good	4	50
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
10	Whether the given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	Excellent	7	87.5
		Good	1	12.5
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0

HEAD
Civil Engineering Dept.
Annasaheb Dange College of
Engineering & Technology, Ashta. 416 301

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology

(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)
Department of Civil Engineering
Academic Year 2021-2022

### Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Curriculum Version: 1   **Total Credits: 168**

No of Employees submitting feedback:6

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	4	2	0	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	1	5	0	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	4	1	1	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	4	2	0	0
5	Whether curriculum is as per industry requirement?	3	3	0	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	4	2	0	0
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	4	2	0	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	3	3	0	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	2	4	0	0
10	Whether the given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	4	2	0	0
11	Whether the curriculum contains innovative components?	2	4	0	0
	Average	3.18	2.73	0.09	0

Analysis of Feedback:

Employees Feedback on Curriculum Design

Poor
0%

Satisfactory
2%

Good
45%

Excellent
53%

- Excellent
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Poor

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology   nD CEt
(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)
Department of Civil Engineering
Academic Year 2021-2022

Employees Average Feedback

Excellent: 3.18%

Good: 2.73%

Satisfactory: .09%

Poor: 0%

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	Excellent	4	66.66
		Good	2	33.33
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	Excellent	1	16.66
		Good	5	83.33
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	Excellent	4	66.66
		Good	1	33.33
		Satisfactory	1	0
		Poor	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	Excellent	4	66.66
		Good	2	33.33
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
5	Whether curriculum is as per industry requirement?	Excellent	3	50
		Good	3	50
		Satisfactory	0	0

		Poor	0	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	Excellent	4	66.66
		Good	2	33.33
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	Excellent	4	66.66
		Good	2	33.33
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	Excellent	3	50
		Good	3	50
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	Excellent	2	33.33
		Good	4	66.66
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
10	Whether the given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	Excellent	4	66.66
		Good	2	33.33
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
11	Whether the curriculum contains innovative components?	Excellent	2	33.33
		Good	4	66.66
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0

HEAD
Civil Engineering Dept.
Annasaheb Dange College of
Engineering & Technology, Ashta. 416 301

Sant Dnyaneshwar Shikshan Sanstha's
Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering & Technology, Ashta
Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Academic Year : 2021-22

ᴔⅅCƐᵗ

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Curriculum Version: R1   **Total Credits: 168**

No of Students submitting feedback: 9

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	9	0	0	0
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	9	0	0	0
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	7	2	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	5	4	0	0
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	7	2	0	0
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	8	1	0	0
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	6	3	0	0
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	6	3	0	0
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	6	3	0	0
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	6	3	0	0
	Average	6.90	2.625	0	0

Analysis of Faculty Feedback

Analysis of Faculty Feedback 2021-22

- Good 20%
- Excellent 80%

Legend:
- Excellent
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Poor

Sant Dnyaneshwar Shikshan Sanstha's
Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering & Technology, Ashta
Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Academic Year : 2021-22

nɔcɜt

Faculty Average Feedback 2021-22

Excellent: 80%   Good: 20%

Satisfactory: 0%   Poor: 0%

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	Excellent	9	100.00
		Good	0	0.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	Excellent	9	100.00
		Good	0	0.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	Excellent	9	100.00
		Good	0	0.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	Excellent	7	77.78
		Good	2	22.22
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	Excellent	5	55.56
		Good	4	44.44
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	Excellent	7	77.78
		Good	2	22.22

Sant Dnyaneshwar Shikshan Sanstha's
Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering & Technology, Ashta
Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Academic Year : 2021-22

ᴨᴐcᴈt

			Satisfactory	0	0.00
			Poor	0	0.00
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?		Excellent	8	88.89
			Good	1	11.11
			Satisfactory	0	0.00
			Poor	0	0.00
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses		Excellent	6	66.67
			Good	3	33.33
			Satisfactory	0	0.00
			Poor	0	0.00
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?		Excellent	6	66.67
			Good	3	33.33
			Satisfactory	0	0.00
			Poor	0	0.00
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?		Excellent	6	66.67
			Good	3	33.33
			Satisfactory	0	0.00
			Poor	0	0.00

HOD

CSE

Academic Year 2021-22

Curriculum Version: R0,R1   **Total Credits: 192,168**

No of Students submitting feedback: 47

| Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	37	8	2	0
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	11	30	6	0
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	17	22	8	0
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	16	27	4	0
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	17	20	10	0
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	23	13	11	0
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	15	29	3	0
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	22	15	10	0
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	19	21	7	0
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	18	17	12	0
	Average	19.5	20.2	7.3	0

Analysis of Feedback (A.Y.2021-22):

Students Feedback on Curriculum 2021-22

Legend:
- Excellent
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Poor

(Pie chart values: 0%, 13%, 46%, 41%)

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology
(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)
Department of Computer Science & Engineering

Student Average Feedback

Excellent: 46%

Good: 41%

Satisfactory: 13%

Poor: 0%

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	Excellent	37	78.72
		Good	8	17.02
		Satisfactory	2	4.26
		Poor	0	0.00
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	Excellent	37	78.72
		Good	8	17.02
		Satisfactory	2	4.26
		Poor	0	0.00
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	Excellent	11	23.40
		Good	30	63.83
		Satisfactory	6	12.77
		Poor	0	0.00
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	Excellent	17	36.17
		Good	22	46.81
		Satisfactory	8	17.02
		Poor	0	0.00
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	Excellent	16	34.04
		Good	27	57.45
		Satisfactory	4	8.51
		Poor	0	0.00

6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	Excellent	17	36.17
		Good	20	42.55
		Satisfactory	10	21.28
		Poor	0	0.00
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	Excellent	23	48.94
		Good	13	27.66
		Satisfactory	11	23.40
		Poor	0	0.00
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	Excellent	15	31.91
		Good	29	61.70
		Satisfactory	3	6.38
		Poor	0	0.00
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	Excellent	22	46.81
		Good	15	31.91
		Satisfactory	10	21.28
		Poor	0	0.00
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	Excellent	19	40.43
		Good	21	44.68
		Satisfactory	7	14.89
		Poor	0	0.00

HOD

CSE

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology
(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)
Department of Computer Science & Engineering
**Employer's Feedback on Curriculum (2021-22)**

ADCE

Curriculum Version: 1   **Total Credits: 168**

No of Students submitting feedback: 2

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	2	0	0	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	1	0	1	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	1	1	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	0	2	0	0
5	Whether curriculum is as per industry requirement?	2	0	0	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	0	1	1	0
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	0	2	0	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	0	2	0	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	1	0	1	0
10	Whether the given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	0	2	0	0
11	Whether the curriculum contains innovative components?	0	1	1	0
	Average	0.64	1.00	0.44	0

Analysis of Feedback:

Employer's Feedback on Curriculum
2021-22

Satisfactory
15%

Excellent
45%

Good
40%

- Excellent
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Poor

Employers Average Feedback

Excellent: 45 %   Good: 40%

Satisfactory: 15%   Poor: 0%

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	Excellent	2	100.00
		Good	0	0.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	Excellent	2	100.00
		Good	0	0.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	Excellent	1	50.00
		Good	0	0.00
		Satisfactory	1	50.00
		Poor	0	0.00
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	Excellent	1	50.00
		Good	1	50.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
5	Whether curriculum is as per industry requirement?	Excellent	0	0.00
		Good	2	100.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	Excellent	2	100.00
		Good	0	0.00

		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	Excellent	0	0.00
		Good	1	50.00
		Satisfactory	1	50.00
		Poor	0	0.00
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	Excellent	0	0.00
		Good	2	100.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	Excellent	0	0.00
		Good	2	100.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
10	Whether the given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	Excellent	1	50.00
		Good	0	0.00
		Satisfactory	1	50.00
		Poor	0	0.00
11	Whether the curriculum contains innovative components?	Excellent	2	100.00
		Good	0	0.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00

HOD

CSE

Sant Dnyaneshwar Shikshan Sanstha's
Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering & Technology, Ashta
Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Academic Year : 2021-22

ꓵꓽ Cᴇt

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Curriculum Version:R0,R1   **Total Credits:192, 168**

No of Students submitting feedback: 2

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	2			
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	2			
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	1	1		
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?		2		
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?		2		
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?		2		
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	2			
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	1	1		
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	2			
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	2			
	Average	1.71	1.6	0	0

Analysis of Alumni Feedback

Alumni Feedback on Curriculumn 2021-22

40.00%

60.00%

■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Satisfactory ■ Poor

Sant Dnyaneshwar Shikshan Sanstha's
Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering & Technology, Ashta
Department of Computer Science and Engineering
Academic Year : 2021-22

ADCET

Alumni Average Feedback R0,R1

Excellent: 60 %   Good: 40%

Satisfactory: %   Poor: 0%

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	Excellent	2	100.00
		Good	0	0.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	Excellent	2	100.00
		Good	0	0.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	Excellent	2	100.00
		Good	0	0.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	Excellent	1	50.00
		Good	1	50.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	Excellent	0	0.00
		Good	2	100.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	Excellent	0	0.00
		Good	2	100.00

		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	Excellent	0	0.00
		Good	2	100.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	Excellent	2	100.00
		Good	0	0.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	Excellent	1	50.00
		Good	1	50.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	Excellent	2	100.00
		Good	0	0.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00

HOD

CSE

SantDnyaneshwarShikshanSanstha's
AnnasahebDange College of Engineering & Technology, Ashta
Department of Food
Academic Year : 2021-22

ADCET

Student Average Feedback

Excellent: 77.6%

Good: 11.3%

Satisfactory: 12.14%

Poor: 0%

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	Excellent	65	77.38
		Good	12	14.28
		Satisfactory	7	8.33
		Poor	0	0.0
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	Excellent	72	85.71
		Good	10	11.90
		Satisfactory	2	2.38
		Poor	0	0.0
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	Excellent	60	72.62
		Good	13	15.47
		Satisfactory	11	13.09
		Poor	0	0.0
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	Excellent	63	75
		Good	11	13
		Satisfactory	13	15.47
		Poor	0	0.0
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	Excellent	62	73.8
		Good	12	14.28
		Satisfactory	10	11,9
		Poor	0	0.0

Head
Department of Food Technology

SantDnyaneshwarShikshanSanstha's
AnnasahebDange College of Engineering & Technology, Ashta
Department of Food
Academic Year : 2021-22

ꓵꓱ ꒐꒐

6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	Excellent	54	64.28
		Good	15	17.85
		Satisfactory	15	17.85
		Poor	0	0.0
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	Excellent	68	80.95
		Good	04	4.76
		Satisfactory	12	14.28
		Poor	0	0.0
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	Excellent	66	78.57
		Good	6	7.14
		Satisfactory	10	11.9
		Poor	0	0.0
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	Excellent	64	76.19
		Good	8	9.52
		Satisfactory	12	14.28
		Poor	0	0.0
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	Excellent	70	83.33
		Good	4	4.76
		Satisfactory	10	11.9
		Poor	0	0.0

Head of the Department

SantDnyaneshwarShikshanSanstha's
AnnasahebDange College of Engineering & Technology, Ashta
Department of Food
Academic Year : 2021-22

ᴺᴰ cᴣt

Analysis of Feedback

Student Feedback on Curriculum Design

■ Excellent

■ Good

▪ Satisfactory

■ Poor

Head of the Department

SantDnyaneshwarShikshanSanstha's
AnnasahebDange College of Engineering & Technology, Ashta
Department of Food
Academic Year : 2021-22

ɅƆCƐ

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Curriculum Version: 0   **Total Credits: 168**

No of Students submitting feedback: 84

| Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	65	12	7	0
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	72	10	2	0
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	60	13	11	0
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	63	11	13	0
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	62	12	10	0
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	54	15	15	0
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	68	4	12	0
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	66	6	10	0
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	64	8	12	0
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	70	4	10	0
	Average	**64.4**	**9.5**	**10.2**	**0**

Head of the Department

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology

(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Academic Year 2021-2022

Curriculum Version: 0, 1   **Total Credits: 170, 192**

No of Students submitting feedback: 66

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	50	14	02	00
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	26	37	03	00
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	30	24	09	03
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	23	27	13	03
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	24	25	14	03
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	26	29	09	02
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	23	24	17	02
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	38	25	02	01
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	30	26	09	01
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	32	23	08	03
	Average	**30.2**	**25.4**	**8.6**	**1.8**

Analysis of Feedback:

Students Feedback on Curriculum: 2021-22

Student Average Feedback :

Excellent: 46 %

Good: 38 %

Satisfactory: 13%

Poor: 3 %

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	Excellent	50	76
		Good	14	21
		Satisfactory	2	3
		Poor	0	0
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	Excellent	26	39
		Good	37	56

		Satisfactory	3	5
		Poor	0	0
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	Excellent	30	45
		Good	24	36
		Satisfactory	9	14
		Poor	3	5
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	Excellent	23	35
		Good	27	41
		Satisfactory	13	20
		Poor	3	5
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	Excellent	24	36
		Good	25	38
		Satisfactory	14	21
		Poor	3	5
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	Excellent	26	39
		Good	29	44
		Satisfactory	9	14
		Poor	2	3
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	Excellent	23	35
		Good	24	36
		Satisfactory	17	26
		Poor	2	3
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	Excellent	38	58
		Good	25	38
		Satisfactory	2	3
		Poor	1	2
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are	Excellent	30	45

|				
	relevant to the course?	Good	26	39
		Satisfactory	9	14
		Poor	1	2
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	Excellent	32	48
		Good	23	35
		Satisfactory	8	12
		Poor	3	5

HOD

Mechanical Department

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology

(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Academic Year 2021-2022

Curriculum Version: 0, 1   **Total Credits: 170, 192**

No of Faculties submitting feedback: 10

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	8	2	0	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	7	3	0	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	6	4	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	6	4	0	0
5	Are you satisfied with the curriculum?	9	1	0	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	7	3	0	0
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	7	3	0	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	8	2	0	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	9	1	0	0
10	The given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	5	5	0	0
	Average	7.2	2.8	0	0

Analysis of Feedback:

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum: 2021-22

Satisfactory
0%

Poor
0%

Good
28%

Excellent
72%

Faculty Average Feedback :

Excellent: **72 %**

Good: **28 %**

Satisfactory: **0 %**

Poor: **0 %**

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	Excellent	8	80
		Good	2	20
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0

No.	Question	Rating	Count	Percentage
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	Excellent	7	70
		Good	3	30
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	Excellent	6	60
		Good	4	40
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	Excellent	6	60
		Good	4	40
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
5	Are you satisfied with the curriculum?	Excellent	9	90
		Good	1	10
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	Excellent	7	70
		Good	3	30
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	Excellent	7	70
		Good	3	30
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	Excellent	8	80
		Good	2	20
		Satisfactory	0	0

|				
		Poor	0	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	Excellent	9	90
		Good	1	10
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
10	The given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	Excellent	5	50
		Good	5	50
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0

HOD

Mechanical Department

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology

(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Academic Year 2021-2022

Curriculum Version: 0, 1   **Total Credits: 170, 192**

No of Employers submitting feedback: 05

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	4	1	0	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	3	2	0	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	4	1	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	4	1	0	0
5	Whether curriculum is as per industry requirement?	2	3	0	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	3	2	0	0
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	3	2	0	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	4	1	0	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	4	1	0	0
10	Whether the given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	4	1	0	0
11	Whether the curriculum contains innovative components?	1	4	0	0
	Average	**3.27**	**1.73**	**0**	**0**

Analysis of Feedback:

Employers Feedback on Curriculum: 2021-22

Satisfactory 0%
Poor 0%
Good 35%
Excellent 65%

Employers Average Feedback :

Excellent: 65 %

Good: 35 %

Satisfactory: 0 %

Poor: 0 %

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	Excellent	4	80
		Good	1	20
		Satisfactory	0	0

		Poor	0	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	Excellent	3	60
		Good	2	40
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	Excellent	4	80
		Good	1	20
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	Excellent	4	80
		Good	1	20
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
5	Whether curriculum is as per industry requirement?	Excellent	2	40
		Good	3	60
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	Excellent	3	60
		Good	2	40
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	Excellent	3	60
		Good	2	40
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	Excellent	4	80
		Good	1	20

|				
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	Excellent	4	80
		Good	1	20
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
10	Whether the given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	Excellent	4	80
		Good	1	20
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
11	Whether the curriculum contains innovative components?	Excellent	1	20
		Good	4	80
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0

HOD

Mechanical Department

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology

(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Academic Year 2021-2022

Curriculum Version: 0, 1   **Total Credits: 170, 192**

No of Alumni submitting feedback: 10

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	4	1	0	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	1	3	1	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	4	1	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	1	3	1	0
5	Whether curriculum you studied is useful to get employment?	1	2	2	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	4	0	1	0
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	1	4	0	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	2	2	0	1
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	1	2	2	0
10	Whether curriculum you studied is addresses industrial requirements?	3	2	0	1
	Average	**2.1**	**2**	**0.7**	**0.2**

Analysis of Feedback:

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum: 2021-22

Poor 4%
Satisfactory 14%
Excellent 42%
Good 40%

Alumni Average Feedback :

Excellent: 42 %

Good: 40 %

Satisfactory: 14 %

Poor: 4 %

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	Excellent	4	80
		Good	1	20
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the	Excellent	1	20

	course is realistic?	Good	3	60
		Satisfactory	1	20
		Poor	0	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	Excellent	4	80
		Good	1	20
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	Excellent	1	20
		Good	3	60
		Satisfactory	1	20
		Poor	0	0
5	Whether curriculum you studied is useful to get employment?	Excellent	1	20
		Good	2	40
		Satisfactory	2	40
		Poor	0	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	Excellent	4	80
		Good	0	0
		Satisfactory	1	20
		Poor	0	0
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	Excellent	1	20
		Good	4	80
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	Excellent	2	40
		Good	2	40
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	1	20

9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	Excellent	1	20
		Good	2	40
		Satisfactory	2	40
		Poor	0	0
10	Whether curriculum you studied is addresses industrial requirements?	Excellent	3	60
		Good	1	20
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	1	20

HOD

Mechanical Department

Academic Year :2021-22
Curriculum Version: R1
No of Students submitting feedback:

Total Credits: 170

70

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	35	30	4	1
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	33	31	5	1
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	32	34	4	0
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	30	33	5	2
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	34	33	2	1
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	33	35	2	0
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	32	32	5	1
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	34	27	7	2
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	33	33	4	0
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	33	33	3	1
	Average	32.9	32.1	4.1	0.9
	Percentage	47.0	45.9	5.9	1.3

Head
Electrical Engineering Department
ADCET, Ashta

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology

(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

## Department of Electrical Engineering
Feedback Analysis
Students Feedback on Curriculum

Academic Year :2021-22
Curriculum Version: R1
Pictorial View of Students Feedback

Total Credits: 170

70

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Students' Average Feedback:

Excellent:	32.9
Good:	32.1
Satisfactory:	4.1
Poor:	0.9

Head
Electrical Engineering Department
ADCET, Ashta

Academic Year :2021-22
Curriculum Version: R1
No of Students submitting feedback:

Total Credits: 170

70

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	Excellent	35	50.00
		Good	30	42.86
		Satisfactory	4	5.71
		Poor	1	1.43
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	Excellent	33	47.14
		Good	31	44.29
		Satisfactory	5	7.14
		Poor	1	1.43
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	Excellent	32	45.71
		Good	34	48.57
		Satisfactory	4	5.71
		Poor	0	0.00
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	Excellent	30	42.86
		Good	33	47.14
		Satisfactory	5	7.14
		Poor	2	2.86
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	Excellent	34	48.57
		Good	33	47.14
		Satisfactory	2	2.86
		Poor	1	1.43
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	Excellent	33	47.14
		Good	35	50.00
		Satisfactory	2	2.86
		Poor	0	0.00
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	Excellent	32	45.71
		Good	32	45.71
		Satisfactory	5	7.14
		Poor	1	1.43
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	Excellent	34	48.57
		Good	27	38.57
		Satisfactory	7	10.00
		Poor	2	2.86
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	Excellent	33	47.14
		Good	33	47.14
		Satisfactory	4	5.71
		Poor	0	0.00
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	Excellent	33	47.14
		Good	33	47.14
		Satisfactory	3	4.29
		Poor	1	1.43
	Average & Percentages	Excellent	32.9	47.0
		Good	32.1	45.9
		Satisfactory	4.1	5.9
		Poor	0.9	1.3

Head
Electrical Engineering Department
ADCET, Ashta

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology
(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)
Department of Electrical Engineering
Feedback Analysis
Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Academic Year :2021-22
Curriculum Version: R1
No of Faculty submitting feedback:

Total Credits: 170

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
		20			
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	12	7	1	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	11	8	1	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	12	8	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	12	8	0	0
5	Are you satisfied with the curriculum?	13	7	0	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	14	5	1	0
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	14	4	2	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	12	8	0	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	9	11	0	0
10	The given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	12	7	1	0
	Average	12.1	7.3	0.6	0
	Percentage	60.5	36.5	3.0	0.0

Head
Electrical Engineering Department
ADCET, Ashta

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology
(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)
Department of Electrical Engineering
Feedback Analysis
Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

ADCET

Academic Year :2021-22
Curriculum Version: R1
No of Faculty submitting feedback:

Total Credits: 170

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

0.6 0

7.3

12.1

× Excellent: ● Good: ═ Satisfactory: × Poor:

Students' Average Feedback:

Excellent:	
Good:	12.1
Satisfactory:	7.3
Poor:	0.6
	0

Head
Electrical Engineering Department
ADCET, Ashta

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology
(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)
Department of Electrical Engineering
Feedback Analysis

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Academic Year :2021-22
Curriculum Version: R1
No of Faculty submitting feedback:

Total Credits: 192

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
			20	
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	Excellent	12	60.00
		Good	7	35.00
		Satisfactory	1	5.00
		Poor	0	0.00
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	Excellent	11	55.00
		Good	8	40.00
		Satisfactory	1	5.00
		Poor	0	0.00
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	Excellent	12	60.00
		Good	8	40.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	Excellent	12	60.00
		Good	8	40.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
5	Are you satisfied with the curriculum?	Excellent	13	65.00
		Good	7	35.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	Excellent	14	70.00
		Good	5	25.00
		Satisfactory	1	5.00
		Poor	0	0.00
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	Excellent	14	70.00
		Good	4	20.00
		Satisfactory	2	10.00
		Poor	0	0.00
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	Excellent	12	60.00
		Good	8	40.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	Excellent	9	45.00
		Good	11	55.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
10	The given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	Excellent	12	60.00
		Good	7	35.00
		Satisfactory	1	5.00
		Poor	0	0.00
	Average & Percentages	Excellent	12.1	60.5
		Good	7.3	36.5
		Satisfactory	0.6	3.0
		Poor	0.0	0.0

Head
Electrical Engineering Department
ADCET, Ashta

Acdemic Year :2021-22

Curriculum Version: R1

No of Employer submitting feedback:

Total Credits: 170

10

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	9	1	0	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	8	2	0	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	7	3	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	8	2	0	0
5	Whether curriculum is as per industry requirement?	8	2	0	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	7	3	0	0
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	7	3	0	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	6	4	0	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	8	2	0	0
10	Whether the given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	7	3	0	0
11	Whether the curriculum contains innovative components?	7	3	0	0
	Average	7.5	2.5	0.00	0.0

Head
Electrical Engineering Department
ADCET, Ashta

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology
(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)
Department of Electrical Engineering
Feedback Analysis
Employer Feedback on Curriculum

**Acdemic Year :2021-22**
Curriculum Version: R1
No of Employer submitting feedback:

Total Credits: 170

10

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

x Excellent: • Good: Satisfactory: x Poor:

Students' Average Feedback:

Excellent:	
Good:	7.4545455
Satisfactory:	2.5454545
Poor:	0
	0

Head
Electrical Engineering Department
ADCET, Ashta

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology
(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)
Department of Electrical Engineering
Feedback Analysis
Employer Feedback on Curriculum

ꓵꓳCꓱꓔ

Acdemic Year :2021-22
Curriculum Version: R1   **Total Credits: 170**
No of Employer submitting feedback: 10

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	Excellent	9	90.00
		Good	1	10.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	Excellent	8	80.00
		Good	2	20.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	Excellent	7	70.00
		Good	3	30.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	Excellent	8	80.00
		Good	2	20.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
5	Whether curriculum is as per industry requirement	Excellent	8	80.00
		Good	2	20.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	Excellent	7	70.00
		Good	3	30.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	Excellent	7	70.00
		Good	3	30.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	Excellent	6	60.00
		Good	4	40.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	Excellent	8	80.00
		Good	2	20.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
10	Whether the given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	Excellent	7	70.00
		Good	3	30.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
11	Whether the curriculum contains innovative components?	Excellent	7	70.00
		Good	3	30.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00

Head
Electrical Engineering Department
ADCET, Ashta

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology
(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)
Department of Electrical Engineering
Feedback Analysis
Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Academic Year :2021-22

Curriculum Version: R1

No of Alumni submitting feedback:

Total Credits: 170

25

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	21	4	0	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	20	5	0	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	19	6	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	18	7	0	0
5	Whether curriculum you studied is useful to get employment?	20	5	0	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	21	4	0	0
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	22	3	0	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	21	4	0	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	20	5	0	0
10	Whether curriculum you studied is addresses industrial requirements?	21	4	0	0
	Average	**20.3**	**4.7**	**0**	**0**

Head
Electrical Engineering Department
ADCET, Ashta

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology
(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)
Department of Electrical Engineering
Feedback Analysis
Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

ADCET

Academic Year :2021-22
Curriculum Version: R1
No of Alumni submitting feedback:

Total Credits: 170

25

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

0 0

4.7

20.3

× Excellent: • Good: ◦ Satisfactory: × Poor:

Students' Average Feedback:

Excellent:	20.3
Good:	4.7
Satisfactory:	0
Poor:	0

Head
Electrical Engineering Department
ADCET, Ashta

Academic Year :2021-22
Curriculum Version: R1
No of Alumni submitting **feedback:**   25

Total Credits: 170

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	Excellent	21	84.00
		Good	4	16.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	Excellent	20	80.00
		Good	5	20.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	Excellent	19	76.00
		Good	6	24.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	Excellent	18	72.00
		Good	7	28.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
5	Whether curriculum you studied is useful to get employment?	Excellent	20	80.00
		Good	5	20.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	Excellent	21	84.00
		Good	4	16.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	Excellent	22	88.00
		Good	3	12.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	Excellent	21	84.00
		Good	4	16.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	Excellent	20	80.00
		Good	5	20.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
10	Whether curriculum you studied is addresses industrial requirements?	Excellent	21	84.00
		Good	4	16.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00

Head
Electrical Engineering Department
ADCET, Ashta