

An Autonomous Institute

Department of Basic Sciences

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Curriculum Version: I (All First Year)

Academic Year: 2017-18

No of Students submitting feedback: 40

Total Credits: 192

Sr.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
No.	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	31	09	00	00
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	14	25	01	00
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by	24	14	02	00
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	15	21	04	00
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	22	11	07	00
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	16	19	05	00
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	21	16	03	00
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the	19	17	04	00
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	23	17	00	00
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	21	19	00	00
Madesagail, Anger etc.	Average	21	17	3	0






Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology, Ashta, An Autonomous Institute

Department of Basic Sciences

Analysis of Feedback:



Student Average Feedback

Excellent: 51%

Good: 42%

Satisfactory: 07%

Poor: 0%





An Autonomous Institute

Department of Basic Sciences

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to	Excellent	31	78
	the program?	Good	9	23
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit	Excellent	14	35
	of the course?	Good	25	63
, sec		Satisfactory	1	3
-		Poor	0	0
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by	Excellent	24	60
2	learning of course contents?	Good	14	35
		Satisfactory	2	5
-		Poor	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are well	Excellent	15	38
	defined?	Good	21	53
		Satisfactory	4	10
		Poor	0	0
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses	Excellent	22	55
	that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	Good	11	28
	competencies expected out of the compet	Satisfactory	7	18
() - () -		Poor	0	0
6	How do you rate the electives offered in	Excellent	16	40
	relation to the Technological	Good	19	48
~	advancements?	Satisfactory	5	13
		Poor	0	0





Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology, Ashta, An Autonomous Institute

Department of Basic Sciences

7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your	Excellent	21	53
	learning through higher order thinking?	Good	16	40
		Satisfactory	3	8
		Poor	0	0
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning	Excellent	19	48
	or self-learning considering the design of the courses	Good	17	43
		Satisfactory	4	10
	egin at the second of the seco	Poor	0	0
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	Excellent	23	58
	are relevant to the course?	Good	17	43
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	Excellent	21	53
	credits to the courses?	Good	19	48
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0

九

HOD

Basic Sciences, ADCET, Ashta





An Autonomous Institute

Department of Basic Sciences

Teachers Feedback on Curriculum

Curriculum Version: 0th Revision

Academic Year: 2017-2018

No of Teachers submitting feedback: 19

Total Credits: 192

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	12	6	1	
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	11	6	2	
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	7	11	1	
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	10	- 6	3	
5	Are you satisfied with the curriculum?	5	- 11	3	
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	12	6	1	
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	4	11	4	
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	4	8	6	ı
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	13	4	2	
10	The given number text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	11	5	3	
	Average	9	7	3	1






Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology, Ashta, An Autonomous Institute

Department of Basic Sciences

Analysis of Feedback:



Teachers Average % Feedback

Excellent 45%

Good 15%

Satisfactory 15%

Port The





An Autonomous Institute

Department of Basic Sciences

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	Excellent	12	63
	setween theory and lab sessions?	Good	6	32
	- 10 Marie 1	Satisfactory	1	5
		Poor	0	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	Excellent	11	58
	course is realistic?	Good	6	32
		Satisfactory	2	11
		Poor	0	- 0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	Excellent	7	37
	defined and achievable by the students?	Good	11	58
		Satisfactory	1	5
	100	Poor	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate	Excellent	10	53
	to achieve the course outcomes?	Good	6	32
		Satisfactory	3	16
		Poor	0	0
5	Are you satisfied with the curriculum?	Excellent	5	26
		Good	11	58
		Satisfactory	3	16
		Poor	0	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed	Excellent	12	63
	by considering students understanding level?	Good	6	32
	Jordi.	Satisfactory	1	5
		Poor	0	0





An Autonomous Institute

Department of Basic Sciences

7	Does the current curriculum support	Excellent	4	21
	enhancement of student's skills?	Good	11	58
		Satisfactory	4	21
	No.	Poor	0	0
8	Whether project based and experiential	Excellent	4	21
	learning is addressed in curriculum?	Good	8	42
		Satisfactory	6	32
	The state of the s	Poor	1	5
9	Does the sequence of courses in	Excellent	13	68
	curriculum structure is appropriate?	Good	4	21
		Satisfactory	2	11
		Poor	0	0
10	The given number text books and	Excellent	11	58
	reference books are sufficient and	Good	5	26
	appropriate?	Satisfactory	3	16
		Poor	0	0

龙

HOD

Basic Sciences, ADCET, Ashta







(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Academic Year 2017-18

Curriculum Version: 0

Total Credits: 192

No of Students submitting feedback: 60

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	50	10	0	0
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	24	28	8	0
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	18	28	12	2
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	15	27	14	4
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	23	24	9	4
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	16	28	4	2
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	29	19	11	1
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	29	23	7	1
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	30	27	3	0
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	27	27	5	1
	Average	26.1	24.1	7.3	1.5






(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Analysis of Feedback:



Student Average Feedback:

Excellent: 44 %

Good: 41 %

Satisfactory: 12%

Poor: 3 %

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	Excellent	50	83
1		Good	10	17
		Satisfactory	0	0





(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

		Poor	0	0
		Excellent	24	40
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of	Good	28	47
۷	the course?	Satisfactory	8	13
		Poor	0	0
		Excellent	18	30
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by	Good	28	47
3	learning of course contents?	Satisfactory	12	20
		Poor	2	3
	Are the assessment models are well defined?	Excellent	15	25
4		Good	27	45
4		Satisfactory	14	23
		Poor	2	3
	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	Excellent	23	. 38
5		Good	24	40
3		Satisfactory	9	15
		Poor	4	7
		Excellent	16	27
6	How do you rate the electives offered in	Good	28	47
0	relation to the Technological advancements?	Satisfactory	4	7
		Poor	2	3
		Excellent	29	48
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for	Good	19	32
7	you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	Satisfactory	11	18
		Poor	2	3
0	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or	Excellent	29	48
8	self-learning considering the design of the courses	Good	23	38





(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

		Satisfactory	7	12
		Poor	1	2
	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	Excellent	30	50
9		Good	27	45
9		Satisfactory	3	5
		Poor	0	0
	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	Excellent	27	45
10		Good	27	45
10		Satisfactory	5	8
		Poor	1	2

OF ENGL

ASHTA

HOD

Mechanical Department





(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Academic Year 2017-2018

Curriculum Version: 0

Total Credits: 192

No of Faculties submitting feedback: 07

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	5	2	0	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	3	4	0	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	5	2	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	5	2	0	0
5	Are you satisfied with the curriculum?	4	3	0	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	5	2	0	0
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	5	2	0	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	4	3	0	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	4	3	0	0
10	The given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	3	4	0	0
	Average	4.1	2.7	0.2	0






(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Analysis of Feedback:



Faculty Average Feedback:

Excellent: 58 %

Good: 39 %

Satisfactory: 3 %

Poor: 0 %

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
		Excellent	5	71
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced	Good Satisfactory	2	29
1	between theory and lab sessions?		0	0
		Poor	0	0





(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

		Excellent	3	43
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the	Good	4	57
2	course is realistic?	Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
		Excellent	5	71
3	Whether the course outcomes are well	Good	2	29
3	defined and achievable by the students?	Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	Excellent	5	71
4		Good	2	29
_		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
	Are you satisfied with the curriculum?	Excellent	4	57
5		Good	3	43
3		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
		Excellent	4	57
6	Whether the course contents are designed by	Good	2	29
U	considering students understanding level?	Satisfactory	1	14
		Poor	0	0
	×	Excellent	4	57
7	Does the current curriculum support	Good	2	29
,	enhancement of student's skills?	Satisfactory	1	14
		Poor	0	0
		Excellent	4	57
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	Good	3	43
		Satisfactory	0	0





(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

		Poor	0	0
		Excellent	4	57
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum	Good	3	43
9	structure is appropriate?	Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
	The given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	Excellent	3	43
10		Good	4	57
10		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0

STATE OF THE STATE

HOD

Mechanical Department





(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Academic Year 2017-2018

Curriculum Version: 0

Total Credits: 192

No of Employers submitting feedback: 04

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	2	1	1	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	1	2	1	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	1	2	1	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	1	1	2	0
5	Whether curriculum is as per industry requirement?	2	2	0	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	1	2	1	0
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	2	2	0	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	2	2	0	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	1	3	0	0
10	Whether the given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	1	1	1	0
11	Whether the curriculum contains innovative components?	3	1	0	0
	Average	1.54	1.73	0.63	0






(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Analysis of Feedback:



Employers Average Feedback:

Excellent: 40 %

Good: 44 %

Satisfactory: 16 %

Poor: 0 %

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
		Excellent	4	80
Whether the curriculum is well bal	Whether the curriculum is well balanced	Good	1	20
1	between theory and lab sessions?	Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the	Excellent	3	60





(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

	course is realistic?	Good	2	40
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
		Excellent	4	80
3	Whether the course outcomes are well	Good	1	20
5	defined and achievable by the students?	Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
		Excellent	4	80
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to	Good	1	20
7	achieve the course outcomes?	Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
	Whether curriculum is as per industry requirement?	Excellent	2	40
5		Good	3	60
J		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	Excellent	3	60
6		Good	2	40
U		Satisfactory	0	0
	C 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Poor	0	0
		Excellent	3	60
7	Does the current curriculum support	Good	2	40
,	enhancement of student's skills?	Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
		Excellent	4	80
8	Whether project based and experiential	Good	1	20
O	learning is addressed in curriculum?	Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0





(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum	Excellent	4	80
9		Good	1	20
	structure is appropriate?	Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
	Whether the given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	Excellent	4	80
10		Good	1	20
10		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
		Excellent	1	20
11	Whether the curriculum contains innovative	Good	4	80
11	components?	Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0

WIND SOL SHIP SOL

HOD

Mechanical Department





(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Academic Year 2017-2018

Curriculum Version: 0

Total Credits: 192

No of Alumni submitting feedback: 05

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	4	1	0	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	1	2	1	1
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	2	2	1	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	4	1	0	- 0
5	Whether curriculum you studied is useful to get employment?	3	1	1	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	2	1	2	0
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	2	1	2	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	4	1	0	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	2	3	0	0
10	Whether curriculum you studied is addresses industrial requirements?	3	2	0	0
V,	Average	2.8	1.5	0.7	0.1






(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Analysis of Feedback:



Alumni Average Feedback:

Excellent: 55 %

Good: 29 %

Satisfactory: 14 %

Poor: 2 %

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	Excellent	4	80
1		Good	1	20
1		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0





(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

		Excellent	1	20
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the	Good	2	40
2	course is realistic?	Satisfactory	1	20
		Poor	1	20
		Excellent	2	40
3	Whether the course outcomes are well	Good	2	40
3	defined and achievable by the students?	Satisfactory	1	20
		Poor	0	0
	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	Excellent	4	80
4		Good	1	20
4		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
	Whether curriculum you studied is useful to get employment?	Excellent	3	60
5		Good	1	20
3		Satisfactory	1	20
		Poor	0	0
		Excellent	2	40
6	Whether the course contents are designed by	Good	1	20
U	considering students understanding level?	Satisfactory	2	40
		Poor	0	0
		Excellent	2	40
7	Does the current curriculum support	Good	1	20
/	enhancement of student's skills?	Satisfactory	2	40
		Poor	0	0
		Excellent	4	80
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	Good	1	20
	6	Satisfactory	0	0





(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Mechanical Engineering

	-	Poor	0	0
	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	Excellent	2	40
9		Good	3	60
9		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
	Whether curriculum you studied is addresses industrial requirements?	Excellent	3	60
10		Good	2	40
10		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0

HOD

Mechanical Department



Sant Dnyaneshwar Shikshan Sanstha's

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering & Technology, Ashta Department of Computer Science and Engineering Academic Year: 2017-18



Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Curriculum Version: R0

Total Credits: 192

No of Students submitting feedback: 5

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	3	2		
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	4	1		
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	3	2		
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	4	1		4.29
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	4	1		
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	3	2		4
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	4	1		
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	3	2		
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	4	1		
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	4	1		
	Average	3.60	1.4		




Sant Dnyaneshwar Shikshan Sanstha's Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering & Technology, Ashta Department of Computer Science and Engineering Academic Year: 2017-18



Analysis of Alumni Feedback

Alumni Feedback on Curriculumn 2017-18



■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Satisfactory ■ Poor



Sant Dnyaneshwar Shikshan Sanstha's

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering & Technology, Ashta Department of Computer Science and Engineering Academic Year: 2017-18



Alumni Average Feedback R0

Excellent: 70%

Good: 30%

Satisfactory: 0%

Poor: 0%

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the	Excellent	3	60.00
	program?	Good	2	40.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit	Excellent	3	60.00
	of the course?	Good	2	40.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	Excellent	4	80.00
		Good	1	20.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	Excellent	3	60.00
		Good	2	40.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the	Excellent	4	80.00
	competencies expected out of the course?	Good	1	20.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	Excellent	4	80.00
	relation to the recimological advancements?	Good	1	20.00



Sant Dnyaneshwar Shikshan Sanstha's Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering & Technology, Ashta Department of Computer Science and Engineering Academic Year: 2017-18



		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	Excellent	3	60.00
		Good	2	40.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	Excellent	4	80.00
		Good	1	20.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	Excellent	3	60.00
		Good	2	40.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	Excellent	4	80.00
		Good	1	20.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00

CSE





Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology (An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)



Department of Computer Science & Engineering Students Feedback on Curriculum

Academic Year 2017-18

Curriculum Version: R0

Total Credits: 192

No of Students submitting feedback: 41

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	16	18	7	0
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	16	20	5	0
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	11	18	12	0
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	14	18	8	0
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	15	19	7	0
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	16	16	9	0
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	23	14	4	0
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	14	21	6	0
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	18	19	4	0
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	10	18	13	0
	Average	15.3	18.1	7.5	0




Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology (An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)




Department of Computer Science & Engineering

Analysis of Feedback (A.Y.2017-18):





Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology (An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)





Department of Computer Science & Engineering

Student Average Feedback

Excellent: 38%

Good: 44%

Satisfactory: 18%

Poor: 0%

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	Excellent	16	39.0
		Good	18	43.9
		Satisfactory	7	17.1
		Poor	0	0.0
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	Excellent	16	30.8
		Good	20	38.5
		Satisfactory	5	9.6
		Poor	0	0.0
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	Excellent	11	21.2
		Good	18	34.6
		Satisfactory	12	23.1
		Poor	0	0.0
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	Excellent	14	26.9
		Good	18	34.6
		Satisfactory	8	15.4
		Poor	0	0.0
5	that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	Excellent	15	28.8
		Good	19	36.5
		Satisfactory	7	13.5
		Poor	0	0.0
6		Excellent	16	30.8



Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology (An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur) Department of Computer Science & Engineering





	relation to the Technological advancements?	Good	16	30.8
		Satisfactory	9	17.3
		Poor	0	0.0
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	Excellent	23	44.2
		Good	14	26.9
		Satisfactory	4	7.7
		Poor	0	0.0
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	Excellent	14	26.9
		Good	21	40.4
		Satisfactory	6	11.5
		Poor	0	0.0
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	Excellent	18	34.6
		Good	19	36.5
		Satisfactory	4	7.7
		Poor	0	0.0
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	Excellent	10	19.2
		Good	18	34.6
		Satisfactory	13	25.0
		Poor	0	0.0

HOD

CSE





Sant Dnyaneshwar Shikshan Sanstha's

Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering & Technology, Ashta Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Academic Year: 2017-2018



Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Curriculum Version: R0

Total Credits: 192

No of Students submitting feedback: 10

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	5	5	0	0
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	5	5	0	0
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	5	5	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	4	5	1	0
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	3	7	0	0
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	7	3	0	0
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	7	2	1	0
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	5	4	1	0
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	5	5	0	0
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	7	3	0	n
	Average	5.3	4.4	0.3	0




Sant Dnyaneshwar Shikshan Sanstha's Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering & Technology, Ashta Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Academic Year : 2017-2018



Analysis of Faculty Feedback





Sant Dnyaneshwar Shikshan Sanstha's Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering & Technology, Ashta Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Academic Year: 2017-2018



Faculty Average Feedback (Revision 0)

Excellent: 53%

Good: 43%

Satisfactory: 3%

Poor: 0%

Sr. No.		Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	Excellent	5	50
		Good	5	40
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	Excellent	5	50
		Good	5	50
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	Excellent	5	50
		Good	5	50
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	Excellent	4	40
		Good	5	50
		Satisfactory	1	10
		Poor	0	0
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	Excellent	3	30
		Good	7	70
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	Excellent	7	70
		Good	3	30



Sant Dnyaneshwar Shikshan Sanstha's Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering & Technology, Ashta Department of Computer Science and Engineering Academic Year: 2017-2018

∂CE¢

		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	Excellent	7	70
		Good	2	20
		Satisfactory	1	10
		Poor	0	0
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	Excellent	5	50
		Good	4	40
		Satisfactory	1	10
		Poor	0	0
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	Excellent	5	50
		Good	5	50
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0
0	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	Excellent	7	70
		Good	3	30
		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	0	0



HOD

CSE





Department of Computer Science & Engineering Employer's Feedback on Curriculum (2017-18)

Curriculum Version: R1


Total Credits: 192

No of Students submitting feedback: 2


Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	2	0	0	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	2	0	0	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	0	2	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	0	1	1	0
5	Whether curriculum is as per industry requirement?	0	1	1	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	0	0	2	0
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	2	0	0	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	1	1	0	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	0	2	0	0
10	Whether the given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	1	0	1	0
11	Whether the curriculum contains innovative components?	1	0	1	0
	Average	0.82	0.64	0.60	0.00



(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)




Analysis of Feedback:











Department of Computer Science & Engineering

Employers Average Feedback

Excellent: 45%

Good: 35 %


Satisfactory: 20%

Poor: 0%

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	Excellent	2	100.00
		Good	0	0.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	Excellent	2	100.00
		Good	0	0.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	Excellent	2	100.00
		Good	0	0.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	Excellent	0	0.00
		Good	2	100.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
54.545	Whether curriculum is as per industry	Excellent	0	0.00
	requirement?	Good	1	50.00
		Satisfactory	1	50.00
		Poor	0	0.00
60	Whether the course contents are designed	Excellent	0	0.00
	by considering students understanding level?	Good	1	50.00




Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology (An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur) Department of Computer Science & Engineering





		Satisfactory	1	50.00
		Poor	0	0.00
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	Excellent	0	0.00
		Good	0	0.00
		Satisfactory	2	100.00
		Poor	0	0.00
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	Excellent	2	100.00
		Good	0	0.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	Excellent	1	50.00
		Good	1	50.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
W		Poor	0	0.00
10	Whether the given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and	Excellent	0	0.00
	appropriate?	Good	2	100.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
11	Whether the curriculum contains innovative components?	Excellent	2	100.00
		Good	0	0.00
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00









Department of Civil Engineering Academic Year 2017-2018

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Curriculum Version: 0

Total Credits: 192

No of Students submitting feedback: 54

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	36	17	1	0
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	17	27	9	1
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	12	30	. 10	2
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	19	16_	19	0
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	17	26	11	0
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	22	23	7	2
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	16	24	14	0
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the	19	21	14	0
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are	19	23	12	0
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	14	26	13	1
	Average	19.1	23.3	11	0.6








Department of Civil Engineering Academic Year 2017-2018

Analysis of Feedback:









(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Civil Engineering Academic Year 2017-2018

Students Average Feedback

Excellent: 19.1%

Good: 23.3%

Satisfactory: 11%

Poor: 0.6%

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
No.	<u></u>	Excellent	36	66.7
	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the	Good	17	31.5
	program?	Satisfactory	1	1.8
		Poor	0	0.00
		Excellent	17	31.5
	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of	Good	27	50
2	the course?	Satisfactory	9	16.67
		Poor	1	1.83
	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	Excellent	12	22.22
		Good	30	55.55
3		Satisfactory	10	18.53
		Poor	2	3.7
		Excellent	19	35.19
		Good	16	29.62
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	Satisfactory	19	35.19
		Poor	0	0.00
	How do you rate the contents of the courses	Excellent	17	31.48
5	that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	Good	26	48.15







(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur) Department of Civil Engineering

Academic Year 2017-2018

		Satisfactory	11	20.37
		Poor	0	0
		Excellent	22	40.74
,	How do you rate the electives offered in	Good	23	42.6
6	relation to the Technological advancements?	Satisfactory	7	12.96
		Poor	2	3.7
		Excellent	16	29.63
_	Do the assessments provide opportunities for	Good	24	44.44
7	you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	Satisfactory	14	25.93
		Poor	0	0.00
	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	Excellent	19	35.19
		Good	21	38.88
8		Satisfactory	14	25.93
		Poor	0	0.00
		Excellent	19	35.19
	How do you rate the relevance of the units are	Good	23	42.59
9	relevant to the course?	Satisfactory	12	22.22
		Poor	0	0.00
		Excellent	14	25.93
	How do you rate the allocation of the credits	Good	26	48.15
10	to the courses?	Satisfactory	13	24.07
		Poor	1	1.85



HEAD
Civil Engineering Dept.
Annasaheb Dange College of
Engineering & Technology, Ashta. 416 301





(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur) Department of Civil Engineering

Academic Year 2017-2018

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Curriculum Version: 0

Total Credits: 192

No of faculties submitting feedback: 19

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	8 -	11.	0	0
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	7	. 10	2	0
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	9	10	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	9	10	0	0
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	12	7	0	0
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	9	8	2	0
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	10	8	1	0
8	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	11	7	1	0
9	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	12	7	0	0
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	11	7	1	0
	Average	9.8	8.5	0.7	. 0








Department of Civil Engineering Academic Year 2017-2018

Analysis of Feedback:









(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Civil Engineering Academic Year 2017-2018

Faculty Average Feedback

Excellent: 9.8%

Good: 8.5%

Satisfactory: 0.7%

Poor: 0%

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the	Excellent	8	42.11
		Good	11	57.89
1	program?	Satisfactory	0	0.00
	B 17	Poor	0	0.00
		Excellent	7	36.84
	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of	Good	10	52.63
2	the course?	Satisfactory	02	10.53
		Poor	0	0.00
	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	Excellent	9	47.36
		Good	10	52.64
3		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
		Excellent	9	47.36
	L. L	Good	10	52.64
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	Satisfactory	0	10.00
		Poor	0	0.00
	II decrease the contents of the courses	Excellent	12	63.16
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the	Good	7	36.84
	competencies expected out of the course?	Satisfactory	0	0.00







Department of Civil Engineering Academic Year 2017-2018

		Poor	00	0.00
		Excellent	9	47.36
	III I i affinal in	Good	8	42.11
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	Satisfactory	2	10.53
		Poor	00	0.00
		Excellent	10	52.63
	Do the assessments provide opportunities for	Good	8	42.11
7	you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	Satisfactory	1	5.26
		Poor	00	0.00
	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	Excellent	11	57.90
		Good	07	36.84
8		Satisfactory	01	5.26
		Poor	00	0.00
		Excellent	12	63.16
	How do you rate the relevance of the units are	Good	07	36.84
9	relevant to the course?	Satisfactory	00	0.00
		Poor	. 00	0.00
		Excellent	11	57.90
	How do you rate the allocation of the credits	Good	07	36.84
10	to the courses?	Satisfactory	01	5.26
		Poor	00	0.00



Civil Engineering Dept.
Annasaheb Dange College of
Engineering & Technology, Ashta. 416 301





(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Civil Engineering Academic Year 2017-2018

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Curriculum Version: 0

Total Credits: 192

No of Alumni's submitting feedback: 10

Sr.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
No.					
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	4	6	0	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	4	5	1	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	5	5	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	0	7	3	0
5	Whether curriculum you studied is useful to get employment?	4	4	2	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	4	3	3	0
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	3	- 5	2	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	3	6	1	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	8	2	0	0
10	Whether curriculum you studied is addresses industrial requirements?	l	5	4	0
	Average	3.6	4.8	1.6	0






Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology (An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur) Department of Civil Engineering



Academic Year 2017-2018

Analysis of Feedback:









(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Civil Engineering Academic Year 2017-2018

Alumni's Average Feedback

Excellent: 3.6%

Good: 4.8%

Satisfactory 1.6%

Poor: 0%

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	Excellent	4	40
1		Good	6	60
		Satisfactory	0	11.36
		Poor	00	00
		Excellent	04	40
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	Good	05	50
-	course is realistic:	Satisfactory	01	10
		Poor	00	00
	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	Excellent	05	50
3		Good	05	50
-		Satisfactory	00	18.18
		Poor	00	00
		Excellent	00	00
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	Good	07	70
	active the course outcomes.	Satisfactory	03	30
		Poor	00	00
	Whether curriculum is as per industry	Excellent	04	40
5	requirement?	Good	04	40
		Satisfactory	02	20







Department of Civil Engineering Academic Year 2017-2018

		Poor	00	00
		Excellent	04	40
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	Good	03	30
O	considering students understanding level?	Satisfactory	03	30
		Poor	00	00
		Excellent	03	30
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	Good	05	50
,	emancement of student's skills?	Satisfactory	02	20
	14.5174	Poor	00	00
	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	Excellent	03	30
8		Good	06	60
0		Satisfactory	01	10
		Poor	00	00
		Excellent	08	80
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	Good	02	20
9	structure is appropriate?	Satisfactory	00	00
		Poor	00	00
	Whether the circumstant Cristian	Excellent	01	10
10	Whether the given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and	Good	05	50
10	appropriate?	Satisfactory	04	40
	C A	Poor	00	00



Civil Engineering Dept.
Annasaheb Dange College of
Engineering & Technology, Ashta. 416 301





(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Civil Engineering Academic Year 2017-2018

Employers Feedback on Curriculum

Curriculum Version: 0

Total Credits: 192

No of Employees submitting feedback: 5

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	4	1	0	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	2	3	0	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	3	2	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	1	3	1	0
5	Whether curriculum is as per industry requirement?	3	2	0	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	4 *	1	0	0
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	2	2	1	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	4	1	0	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	0	5	0	0
10	Whether the given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	4	1	0	0
11	Whether the curriculum contains innovative components?	4	1	0	0
	Average	2.81	2	.19	0








Department of Civil Engineering Academic Year 2017-2018

Analysis of Feedback:









(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Civil Engineering Academic Year 2017-2018

Employees Average Feedback

Excellent: 2.81%

Good: 2%

Satisfactory: 0.19%

Poor: 0%

Sr. No.	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
		Excellent	4	80
	Whether the curriculum is well balanced	Good	1	20
1	between theory and lab sessions?	Satisfactory	0	0
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Poor	00	0.00
		Excellent	2	40
100	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	Good	3	60
402		Satisfactory	. 0	0
1		Poor	00	0.00
	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	Excellent	3	60
		Good	2	40
3		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	00	0.00
		Excellent	1	20
	Are the assessment models are appropriate to	Good	3	60
4	achieve the course outcomes?	Satisfactory	1	20
		Poor	00	0.00
	Whather consignium is as per industry	Excellent	3	60
5	Whether curriculum is as per industry requirement?	Good	2	40
		Satisfactory	0	0







Department of Civil Engineering Academic Year 2017-2018

		Poor	00	0.00
	Whether the course contents are designed by	Excellent	4	80
6		Good	1	20
O	considering students understanding level?	Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	00	0.00
		Excellent	2	40
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	Good	2	40
′		Satisfactory	1	20
		Poor	0	0
	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	Excellent	4	80
8		Good	1	20
0		Satisfactory	0	0 - 22
		Poor	00	0
	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	Excellent	0	0
0		Good	5	100
9		Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	00	0.00
	327	Excellent	4	80
	Whether the given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and	Good	1	20
10	appropriate?	Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	00	0
		Excellent	4	80
	Whether the curriculum contains innovative	Good	1	20
11	components?	Satisfactory	0	0
		Poor	00	0



NEAD
Civil Engineering Dept.
Annasaheb Dange College of
Engineering & Technology, Ashta. 416 301



MOCEL

Department of Electrical Engineering Feedback Analysis

Students Feedback on Curriculum

Academic Year :2017-18 **Curriculum Version: R0**

Total Credits: 192

No of Students submitting feedback

NO OI	Students submitting feedback:	70			
Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	30	30	5	5
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	31	30	6	3
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	31	31	6	2
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	33	31	3	3
5	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	1 1	33	5	3
6	How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?	28	38	3	1
7	Do the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your learning through higher order thinking?	30	37	3	0
- X	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the courses	29	34	4	3
9 1	How do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	26	36	4	4
10	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	27	35	5	3
	Average	29.4	33.5	4.4	2.7
	Percentage	42.0	47.9	6.3	3.9



Department of Electrical Engineering Feedback Analysis


Students Feedback on Curriculum

Academic Year :2017-18 Curriculum Version: R0 Pictorial View of Students Feedback Total Credits: 192

かってきた

70

Students Feedback on Curriculum



* Excellent * Good - Satisfactory * Poor

Students' Average Feedback:

29.4 Excellent: 33.5 Good: 4.4 Satisfactory: 2.7 Poor:





(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Electrical Engineering Feedback Analysis

Students Feedback on Curriculum


Academic Year :2017-18 Curriculum Version: R0 **Total Credits: 192**

いつここと

				D
Sr. No	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentag
		Excellent	30	42.86
1	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	Good	30	42.86
•	Whether the curriculum is appropriate to the program?	Satisfactory	5	7.14
		Poor	5	7.14
		Excellent	31	44.29
2	Is the realistic time line given for each unit of the course?	Good	30	42.86
-	and the second of the course;	Satisfactory	6	8.57
		Poor	3	4.29
		Excellent	31	44.29
3	Are the course outcomes are achievable by learning of course contents?	Good	31	44.29
	the second and admiration by featining of course contents.	Satisfactory	6	8.57
		Poor	2	2.86
		Excellent	33	47.14
4	Are the assessment models are well defined?	Good	31	44.29
.	The life abbestion in orders are well defined.	Satisfactory	3	4.29
		Poor	3	4.29
		Excellent	29	41.43
5 1	How do you rate the contents of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?	Good	33	47.14
، ا		Satisfactory	5	7.14
		Poor	3	4.29
		Excellent	28	40.00
, _F		Good	38	54.29
, [Satisfactory	3	4.29
- 1		Poor	1	1.43
		Excellent	30	42.86
D	On the assessments provide opportunities for you to adequately demonstrate your	Good	37	52.86
	earning through higher order thinking?	Satisfactory	3	4.29
		Poor	0	0.00
		Excellent	29	41.43
R	ate the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning considering the design of the		34	48.57
	ourses and a state of the state	Satisfactory	4	5.71
		Poor	3	4.29
\neg		Excellent	26	37.14
١		Good	36	
H	ow do you rate the relevance of the units are relevant to the course?	Satisfactory	4	51.43
	•	Poor		5.71
+			4	5.71
		Excellent	27	38.57
Ho	w do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?	Good	35	50.00
		Satisfactory	5	7.14
		Poor	3	4.29
		Excellent	29.4	42.0
	Average & Percentages	Good	33.5	47.9
		Satisfactory	4.4	6.3
	F	Poor	2.7	0.5









Department of Electrical Engineering Feedback Analysis

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Academic Year :2017-18 Curriculum Version: R0


Total Credits: 192

	aculty submitting feedback:	18			
Sr. No.	Vacations	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	13	5	()	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	8	10	0	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	12	4	2	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	13	5	0	0
5	Are you satisfied with the curriculum?	9	8	i	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	11	5	ı	1
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	9	10	0	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	7	11	0	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	9	9	0	0
10	The given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	14	4	0	0
	Average	10.5	7.1	0.4	0.1
	Percentage	58.3	39.4	2.2	0.1





(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)



Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

MCEt

Academic Year :2017-18 Curriculum Version: R0 No of Faculty submitting feedback:

Total Credits: 192

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

7.1

Excellent # Good Satisfactory: # Poo

Students' Average Feedback:

 Excellent
 10.5

 Good:
 7.1

 Satisfactory:
 0.4

 Poor:
 0.1





Department of Electrical Engineering



Feedback Analysis Faculty Feedback on Curriculum

Academic Year :2017-18
Curriculum Version: R0
No of Faculty submitting feedback:
Sr. No.

Total Credits: 192

Sr. No.	0		18	
	Questions	Options	No. of Responses	D
1	Whether the curriculum in a training	Excellent	13	Percentage
	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	Good	5	72,22
		Satisfactory	0	27.78
		Poor	0	0.00
2	Whether the time and a	Excellent	8	0.00
	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	Good	10	44.44 55.56
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
3	Whether the course	Excellent	12	66.67
	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	Good	4	22.22
		Satisfactory	2	11.11
		Poor	0	0.00
4	Aratha	Excellent	13	72.22
7	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	Good	5	27.78
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
5		Excellent	9	50.00
5 Are you satisfied with the curriculum?	Are you satisfied with the curriculum?	Good	8	44.44
		Satisfactory	ï	5.56
		Poor	0	0.00
6	What is	Excellent	- 11	61.11
0	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	Good	5	27.78
		Satisfactory	1	5.56
		Poor	1	5.56
		Excellent	9	50.00
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	Good	10	55.56
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
		Excellent	7	38.89
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	Good	11	61.11
		Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
		Excellent	9	50.00
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	Good	9	50.00
		Satisfactors	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
		Excellent	14	77.78
10	The given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and appropriate?	Good	1	22.22
	- and appropriate	Satisfactory	0	0.00
		Poor	0	0.00
		Excellent	10.5	58.3
	Average & Percentages	Good	7.1	39.4
	Average of Percentages	Satisfactory	0.4	2.2
		Poor	0.1	
		11.14.1	0.1	0.6





Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology (An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaii University, Kolhapur) Department of Electrical Engineering

⊕C≣t

Feedback Analysis

Employer Feedback on Curriculum

Academic Year :2017-18

Curriculum Version: R0

Total Credits: 192

No of Employer submitting feedback:

Sr. No.	Questions	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	4	3	0	0
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	3	4	0	0
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	3	4	0	0
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	3	2	2	0
5	Whether curriculum is as per industry requirement?	3	2	2	0
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	4	3	0	0
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	3	4	0	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	3	3	1	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	3	3	1	0
	Whether the given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and	4	3	0	0
11	Whether the curriculum contains innovative components?	3	3	1	.0
	Average	3.3	3.1	0.64	0.0





(An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur)

Department of Electrical Engineering

いつこう

Feedback Analysis Employer Feedback on Curriculum

Academic Year :2017-18


Curriculum Version: R0

No of Employer submitting feedback:

Total Eredits: 192

7

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum



Excellent: # Good: # Satisfactory: # Poor:

Students' Average Feedback:

Excellent:

3.2727273

Good:

3.0909091

Satisfactory:

0.6363636

Poor:

....





Annasaheb Dange College of Engineering and Technology (An Autonomous Institute Affiliated to Shivaji University, Kolhapur) Department of Electrical Engineering

SCE

Feedback Analysis **Employer Feedback on Curriculum**

Academic Year :2017-18 Curriculum Version: R0

Total Credits: 192

No of Employer submitting feedback:

Sr. N	Ouestions	Options	No. of Responses	Percentage	
		Excellent	4	57.14	
		Good	3	42.86	
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	Satisfactory	0	0.00	
		Poor	0	0.00	
		Excellent	3	42.86	
		Good	4	57.14	
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	Satisfactory	0	0.00	
		Poor	0	0.00	
		Excellent	3	42.86	
		Good	4	57.14	
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	Satisfactory	0	0.00	
		Poor	0	0.00	
		Excellent	3	42.86	
	1	Good	2	28.57	
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	Satisfactory	2	28.57	
		Poor	0	0.00	
		Excellent	3	42.86	
		Good	2	28.57	
5	Whether curriculum is as per industry requirement	Satisfactory	2	28.57	
		Poor	0	0.00	
		Excellent	4	57.14	
		Good	3	42.86	
6	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	Satisfactory	0	0.00	
		Poor	0	0.00	
		Excellent	3	42.86	
		Good	4	57.14	
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	Satisfactory	0	0.00	
		Poor	0	0.00	
\dashv		Excellent	3	42.86	
1		Good	3	42.86	
3	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	Satisfactory	1	14.29	
- 1		Poor	0	0.00	
\dashv		Excellent	3	42.86	
1		Good	3	12.86	
1	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	1-1-2-2-1	1	14.29	
		Satisfactory Poor	0	0.00	
\perp			4	57.14	
		Excellent			
	Vhether the given number of text books and reference books are sufficient and		3	12.86	
a	opropriate?	Satisfactory	0	0.00	
		Poor	0	0.00	
1		Excellent	3	42.86	
		Good	3	42.86	
W	hether the curriculum contains innovative components?	Satisfactory	1	14.29	
1		Poor	0	0.00	



Electrical Engineering Department

ADCET, Ashta





Department of Electrical Engineering Feedback Analysis

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Academic Year :2017-18 Curriculum Version: R0

Total Credits: 192

No of Alumni submitting feedback: 42

Sr. No.	Questions .	Excellent	Good	G .t.e.	T_
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?			Satisfactory	Poor
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	30	10	11	1
3	Whether the course outcomes all 1 5	32	8	1	1
4	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	28	13	1	0
	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	25	15	1	1
	Whether curriculum you studied is useful to get employment?	27	8	5	2
	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	27	13	1	1
7	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	28	13	1	0
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	26	13	1	0
9	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	28			1
10	Whether curriculum you studied is addresses industrial requirements?		12	1	1
		25	12	4	1
	Average	27.6	11.7	1.8	0.9





Department of Electrical Engineering Feedback Analysis Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Academic Year :2017-18 **Curriculum Version: R0** No of Alumni submitting feedback: 42

Total Credits: 192

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum



Students' Average Feedback:

Excellent:	27.6
Good:	11.7
Satisfactory:	1.8
Poor:	0.9



Electrical Engineering Department



Department of Electrical Engineering Feedback Analysis Alumni Feedback on Curriculum



Academic Year :2017-18 Curriculum Version: R0 No of Alumni submitting feedback: 42 Sr. No.

Total Credits: 192

Sr. No.	Questions		42	
		Options	No. of Responses	Percentage
1	Whether the curriculum is well balanced between theory and lab sessions?	Excellent	30	71.43
		Good	10	23.81
		Satisfactory	1	2.38
2	Whether the time given for each unit of the course is realistic?	Poor		2.38
		Excellent	32	76.19
		Good	8	19.05
		Satisfactory	1	2.38
3	Whether the course outcomes are well defined and achievable by the students?	Poor	1	2.38
		Excellent	28	66.67
		Good	13	30.95
		Satisfactory	1	2.38
		Poor	0	0.00
4	Are the assessment models are appropriate to achieve the course outcomes?	Excellent	25	59.52
		Good	15	35.71
		Satisfactory	1	2.38
		Poor	i	2.38
5	Whether curriculum you studied is useful to get employment?	Excellent	27	64.29
		Good	8	19.05
		Satisfactory	5	11.90
6		Poor	2	4.76
	Whether the course contents are designed by considering students understanding level?	Excellent	27	64.29
		Good	13	30.95
		Satisfactory	13	
		Poor	- i	2.38
7 1	Does the current curriculum support enhancement of student's skills?	Excellent		2.38
		Good	28	66.67
		Satisfactory	13	30.95
		Poor	1	2.38
8	Whether project based and experiential learning is addressed in curriculum?	Excellent	0	0.00
			26	61.90
		Good	13	30.95
		Satisfactory	2	4.76
9 D	Does the sequence of courses in curriculum structure is appropriate?	Poor	1	2.38
		Excellent	28	66.67
		Good	12	28,57
		Satisfactory	1	2.38
10 W	Whether curriculum you studied is addresses industrial requirements?	Poor	1	2.38
		Excellent	25	59.52
		Good	12	28.57
		Satisfactory	4	9.52
		Poor	i	2.38



